

www.roadsafety.unc.edu



Survey and Focus Group Results

February 4, 2021

Project Team – Florida Atlantic University

- Eric Dumbaugh, Ph.D.
- Dibakar Saha, Ph.D.
- Louis Merlin, Ph.D.
- Jesse Saginor, Ph.D.

Project Overview

- Motivated by programs like Vision Zero, cities have sought to develop safety practices that depart from conventional practice.
- The study sought to understand the current state-of-the-practice in addressing safety at the city level.
 - Survey of representatives of the 150 most populous US cities
 - Focus group of 10 leaders in traffic safety
- Key Questions:
 - How do cities conceptualize urban traffic safety?
 - How are they currently attempting to reduce traffic-related death and injury?
 - What tools and resources are used to advance safety?
 - What is the political climate that motivates safety efforts?

Components

- 1. Survey
 - Respondents were persons overseeing city safety programs from the 150 largest cities in the US.
 - Web-based survey instrument
 - Recruitment via email and follow-up telephone calls
 - 74 completed responses 49% response rate
- 2. Focus Group
 - Held on October 30, 2017 at NACTO Annual Conference in Chicago
 - Participants included 10 representatives from cities who have wellestablished Vision Zero programs

Survey

- 67 Questions Focused on 3 Primary Areas:
 - 1. Transportation Planning and Project Development
 - 2. Education and Enforcement
 - 3. Administration and Leadership, including:
 - Mayors
 - City Councils
 - City Residents
 - Metropolitan Planning Organizations
 - Departments of Transportation

Findings: Transportation Planning and Project Development

- 84% of respondents indicated that safety is an explicit policy goal in their long-range transportation plan, compared to only 64% of cities identifying congesting relief as a policy goal.
 - Nevertheless, these goals do not translate into project development processes:
 - 90% of respondents reported that congestion is a primary measure when evaluating project alternatives.
 - 55% of respondents identified safety as a concern for new projects, while 33% said it was a concern for 3R/4R projects.
- 90.5% indicated that they collect safety-related data, though only 62% indicated that they use this data to actively monitor safety performance.
- Most city safety programs related to pedestrians and cyclists.
 95% of respondents reported having a bicycle master plan, and 88% reported having a complete streets program.

Findings: Education and Enforcement

- Education and enforcement policies adopted pertain principally to conventional programs such as legal prohibitions against texting while driving (82%), DUI/DWI enforcement (85%), seat-belt enforcement (65%), and restrictions on cell phone use while driving (60%).
- Less than half of the cities have sought to modify speed or driving behavior through programs such as speed limit enforcement, crosswalk enforcement, or red light cameras.
- 81% reported the adoption of at least one Safe Routes to School program during the last 3 years,

Findings: Administration and Leadership

- Mayors and City Managers were viewed as being particularly influential to safety, with 76% of respondents indicating that safety was a top concern.
- 73% of respondents also said Metropolitan Planning Organizations were supportive of safety, though only 55% said that the MPO prioritized safety over congestion.
- Comparatively, only half of respondents felt that the State Department of Transportation prioritized safety, and only 27% reported that DOTs prioritize safety over congestion concerns.
- Only 40% felt that their DOT supported projects focused on the safety of pedestrians or cyclists. Only 34% reported that their State DOT supported complete streets programs.

Focus Group: Major Findings

- Vehicle speeds are viewed as the primary safety issue confronting cities.
- Crashes involving pedestrians and cyclists were regarded as being of greater overall concern than crashes involving multiple vehicles.
- Many participants noted that safety was a greater concern than **congestion**, which was regarded as a facet of urban living.
- Funding, and especially long-term maintenance, was viewed as a barrier. Cities have addressed the lack of capital from state sources by local capital investments, but are sensitive to the costs of funding the ongoing maintenance of new capital projects.