

Attribute	Attribute Values	Attribute Weight	
General Quality (35%)			
Technically-sound	Research approach is technically sound and feasible within the proposed timeframe and team is qualified	3	0.15
	Research approach or project team may need some adjustments/improvements	2	
	Research approach is unsound or team is not adequately qualified	1	
Supportive of Strategic Roadmap	Project clearly supports multiple goals/objectives of the CSCRS Strategic Roadmap	3	0.05
	Project clearly supports at least one goal/objective of the CSCRS Strategic Roadmap	2	
	It is not clear which CSCRS goal or objective this research proposal may support	1	
Collaborative	Project involves a multidisciplinary team, spans multiple organizations, or includes unique data cooperatives	3	0.05
	Project involves a multidisciplinary team within the same organization	2	
	Project does not involve a high level of collaboration with different disciplines or organizations	1	
Practicality/Applicability	Research results can be easily integrated into or enhance existing transportation safety practice	3	0.10
	Transportation or other professionals/stakeholders can apply results with some additional work (e.g. guidebook)	2	
	Useful to researchers, but not to practitioners or has a limited scope of applicability (ie, only applies to one agency)	1	
Focus of Year-5 Call (50%)			
Fills Research Gaps in Strategic Roadmap	Project clearly fills an identified gap in the CSCRS Strategic Roadmap	3	0.10
	Project touches on a gap in the CSCRS Strategic Roadmap	2	
	Project does not fill a gap in the CSCRS Strategic Roadmap	1	
Continuity/completion	Research results/products needed to finish previous research, implement results from research or disseminate findings.	3	0.10
	Research results/products could be used at any time	2	
	Research results/products are not related to previous research.	1	
CSCRS Sustainability	Research results/products or skills-built will lead to future CSCRS research opportunities, funding or partnerships	3	0.10
	Research results/products or skills-built may lead to future CSCRS research opportunities, funding or partnerships	2	
	Research results/products not likely to lead to future CSCRS research opportunities, funding or partnerships	1	
Equitable, Diverse, and Inclusive	Research process and/or results include or directly benefit or focus on traditionally underserved populations	3	0.2
	Research process and/or results potentially or indirectly benefit or focus on traditionally underserved populations	2	
	Research process and/or results have no clear benefit or focus on traditionally underserved populations	1	
Reviewer Feedback (reviewed with the above and provided back to the PI) - Feeds into Criteria listed above			
General Feedback	<p>Reviewer can add any information here to support future proposal improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •What are the weaknesses of this study, and how could they be addressed? •Does the team possess the skills needed to perform the research, or what might be enhanced? •Are the data and technical approach valid? •How impactful (to transportation research and or practice) do you think this work will be, or what could improve its impact? •Are there any other activities or issues that need to be clarified or reconsidered? 		
Cost, Matching, and Capacity (evaluated separately from the above by CSCRS research coordinator and administrative staff) (15%)			
Cost-appropriateness	Research cost is appropriate for the work proposed, and within an available budget given other CSCRS projects/funds allocated	3	0.02
	Research cost may need to be adjusted to be compatible with other CSCRS funds distributed	2	
	Research cost is not appropriate for the work proposed or exceeds the available amount for the project lead, given other CSCRS projects/funds	1	
Matching	Project has an established source of matching funds, close to or exceeding the project cost	3	0.10
	Project may have a source of matching funds, but it is not a 100% match	2	
	Project does not have a clear source of matching funds	1	
Past PI Performance	For prior CSCRS funded work, PI has submitted all required deliverables in a timely fashion (e.g., work plan, DMP, draft and final deliverables, data sets, etc.) and requested fewer than 2 project extensions for a single project	3	0.03
	For prior CSCRS funded work, PI has submitted most required deliverables in a timely fashion (e.g., work plan, DMP, draft and final deliverables, data sets, etc.) and requested fewer than 3 project extensions for a single project	2	
	For prior CSCRS funded work, PI has not provided required deliverables (e.g., work plan, DMP, draft and final deliverables, data sets, etc.) or has required multiple project extensions (3+) or inquiries to get needed information	1	